arXiv:1201.5215v1 [math.DG] 25 Jan 2012

REAL HYPERSURFACES OF NON - FLAT COMPLEX PLANES IN TERMS OF THE JACOBI OPERATORS

Th. Theofanidis, Ph. J. Xenos

Mathematics Division, School of Technology,
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,
Thessaloniki, 54124, Greece.
email :theotheo@gen.auth.gr, fxenos@gen.auth.gr.

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is the study of some classes of real hypersurfaces equipped with the condition $\phi l = l\phi$, $l = R(.,\xi)\xi$.

MSC: 53C40, 53D15
Keywords: almost contact manifold, Jacobi operator.

0 Introduction.

An n - dimensional Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c is called complex space form, which is denoted by $M_n(c)$. The complete and simply connected complex space form is a projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ if $c > 0$, a hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$ if $c < 0$, or a Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^n$ if $c = 0$. The induced almost contact metric structure of a real hypersurface M of $M_n(c)$ will be denoted by (ϕ, ξ, η, g).

Real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ which are homogeneous, were classified by R. Takagi ([13]). J. Berndt ([1]) classified real hypersurfaces with principal structure vector fields in $\mathbb{C}H^n$, which are divided into the model spaces A_0, A_1, A_2 and B. Another class of real hypersurfaces were studied by Okumura

[11], and Montiel and Romero [10], who proved respectively the following theorems.

Theorem 0.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $n \geq 2$. If it satisfies*

$$g((A\phi - \phi A)X, Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y, then M is a tube of radius r over one of the following Kaehlerian submanifolds:
(A_1) *a hyperplane $\mathbb{C}P^{n-}$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,*
(A_2) *a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k (0 < k \leq n-2)$,where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$.*

Theorem 0.2 *Let M be a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, $n \geq 2$. If it satisfies*

$$g((A\phi - \phi A)X, Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y, then M is locally congruent to one of the following:
(A_0) *a self - tube, that is, horosphere,*
(A_1) *a geodesic hypershere or a tube over a hyperplane $\mathbb{C}H^{n-1}$,*
(A_2) *a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^k$ $(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$.*

Real hypersurfaces of type A_1 and A_2 in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and of type A_0, A_1 and A_2 in $\mathbb{C}H^n$ are said to be hypersurfaces of *type A* for simplicity.

A Jacobi field along geodesics of a given Riemannian manifold (M, g) plays an important role in the study of differential geometry. It satisfies a well known differential equation which inspires Jacobi operators. For any vector field X, the Jacobi operator is defined by R_X: $R_X(Y) = R(Y, X))X$, where R denotes the curvature tensor and Y is a vector field on M. R_X is a self - adjoint endomorphism in the tangent space of M, and is related to the Jacobi differential equation, which is given by $\nabla_{\acute{\gamma}}(\nabla_{\acute{\gamma}} Y) + R(Y, \acute{\gamma})\acute{\gamma} = 0$ along a geodesic γ on M, where $\acute{\gamma}$ denotes the velocity vector along γ on M.

In a real hypersurface M of a complex space form $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, the Jacobi operator on M with respect to the structure vector field ξ, is called the structure Jacobi operator and is denoted by $R_\xi(X) = R(X, \xi,)\xi$.

Many authors have studied real hypersurfaces from many points of view. Certain authors have studied real hypersurfaces under the condition $\phi l = l\phi$, equipped with one or two additional conditions ([3], [5], [6], [7] [8]). Any such hypersurface is locally congruent to a model space of type A.

In 2006, Ortega, Perez and Santos [12]in showed the nonexistence of real hypersurfaces in nonflat complex space forms whose structure Jacobi operator is parallel. In 2007, Ki, Perez, Santos and Suh in [9] classified real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with ξ- parallel Ricci tensor and structure Jacobi operator. Recently J. T. Cho and U - H Ki in [4] classified the real hypersurfaces whose structure Jacobi operator is symmetric along the Reeb flow ξ and commutes with the shape operator A.

In the present paper we consider a weaker condition $\nabla_\xi l = \mu\xi$ where μ is a function of class C^1 on M and classify these hypersurfaces satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$. Namely we prove:

Theorem 0.3 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$. If $lA = Al$ on $ker(\eta)$ or on $span\{\xi\}$ then M is locally congruent to a model space of type A.*

Theorem 0.4 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$. If $\nabla_\xi l = \mu\xi$ on $ker(\eta)$ or on $span\{\xi\}$, then M is locally congruent to a model space of type A.*

1 Preliminaries.

Let M_n be a Kaehlerian manifold of real dimension 2n, equipped with an almost complex structure J and a Hermitian metric tensor G. Then for any vector fields X and Y on $M_n(c)$, the following relations hold:

$$J^2X = -X, \qquad G(JX, JY) = G(X, Y), \qquad \widetilde{\nabla} J = 0$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection of G of M_n.

Now, let M_{2n-1} be a real (2n-1)-dimensional hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, and denote by N a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood of a point in M_{2n-1} (from now on we shall write M instead of M_{2n-1}). For any vector field X tangent to M we have $JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N$, where ϕX is the tangent component of JX, $\eta(X)N$ is the normal component, and

$$\xi = -JN, \qquad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \qquad g = G|_M.$$

By properties of the almost complex structure J, and the definitions of η and g, the following relations hold ([2]):

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \qquad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \qquad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y). \tag{1.2}$$

The above relations define an *almost contact metric structure* on M which is denoted by (ϕ, ξ, g, η). When an almost contact metric structure is defined on M, we can define a local orthonormal basis $\{V_1, V_2, ...V_{n-1}, \phi V_1, \phi V_2, ...\phi V_{n-1}, \xi\}$, called a $\phi - basis$. Furthermore, let A be the shape operator in the direction of N, and denote by ∇ the Riemannian connection of g on M. Then, A is symmetric and the following equations are satisfied:

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi AX, \qquad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi. \tag{1.3}$$

As the ambient space $M_n(c)$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, the equations of Gauss and Godazzi are respectively given by:

$$R(X, Y)Z = \tfrac{c}{4}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y \tag{1.4}$$

$$-2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z] + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \tfrac{c}{4}[\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi]. \tag{1.5}$$

The tangent space T_pM, for every point $p \in M$, is decomposed as following:

$$T_pM = ker(\eta)^\perp \oplus ker(\eta)$$

where $ker(\eta)^\perp = span\{\xi\}$ and $ker(\eta)$ is defined as following:

$$ker(\eta) = \{X \in T_pM : \eta(X) = 0\}$$

Based on the above decomposition, by virtue of (1.3), we decompose the vector field $A\xi$ in the following way:

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U \tag{1.6}$$

where $\beta = |\phi\nabla_\xi\xi|$ and $U = -\frac{1}{\beta}\phi\nabla_\xi\xi \in ker(\eta)$, provided that $\beta \neq 0$.

If the vector field $A\xi$ is expressed as $A\xi = \alpha\xi$, then ξ is called a principal vector field.

Finally differentiation will be denoted by ( ). All manifolds of this paper are assumed to be connected and of class C^∞.

2 Hypersurfaces satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$

In the study of real hypersurfaces of a complex space form $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, it is a crucial condition that the structure vector field ξ is principal. The purpose of this paragraph is to prove this condition.
Let V be the open subset of points p of M, where $\alpha \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of p and V_0 be the open subset of points p of M such that $\alpha = 0$ in a neighborhood of p. Since α is a smooth function on M, then $V \cup V_0$ is an open and dense subset of M.

Lemma 2.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$ on $ker(\eta)$. Then, $\beta = 0$ on V_0.*

Proof.
From (1.6) we have $A\xi = \beta U$ on V_0. Then (1.4) for $X = U$ and $Y = Z = \xi$ yields

$$lU = \frac{c}{4}U + g(A\xi,\xi)AU - g(AU,\xi)A\xi = \frac{c}{4}U - g(U,A\xi)A\xi = (\frac{c}{4} - \beta^2)U \Rightarrow$$

$$\phi lU = (\frac{c}{4} - \beta^2)\phi U.$$

In the same way, from (1.4) for $X = \phi U$, $Y = Z = \xi$ we obtain $l\phi U = \frac{c}{4}\phi U$. The last two equations yield $\beta = 0$. □

REMARK
We have proved that on V_0, $A\xi = 0\xi$ i.e. ξ is a principal vector field on V_0. Now we define on V the set V' of points p where $\beta \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of p and the set V'' of points p where $\beta = 0$ in a neighborhood of p. Obviously ξ is principal on V''. In what follows we study the open subset V' of M.

Lemma 2.2 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$ on $ker(\eta)$. Then the following relations hold on V'.*

$$(2.1) \qquad AU = \Big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\Big)U + \beta\xi, \qquad A\phi U = (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})\phi U$$

$$(2.2) \; \nabla_\xi\xi = \beta\phi U, \qquad \nabla_U\xi = \Big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\Big)\phi U, \qquad \nabla_{\phi U}\xi = -(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})U$$

$$(2.3)\qquad \nabla_\xi U = \kappa_1 \phi U, \qquad \nabla_U U = \kappa_2 \phi U, \qquad \nabla_{\phi U} U = \kappa_3 \phi U + (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})\xi$$

(2.4)

$$\nabla_\xi \phi U = -\kappa_1 U - \beta\xi, \quad \nabla_U \phi U = -\kappa_2 U - \Big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\Big)\xi, \nabla_{\phi U}\phi U = -\kappa_3 U$$

where κ_1, κ_2, κ_3 are smooth functions on V.

Proof.
Using (1.4) with $Y = Z = \xi$, and from the definition of lX, we get

$$(2.5)\qquad lX = \frac{c}{4}[X - \eta(X)\xi] + \alpha AX - g(AX, \xi)A\xi$$

which, for X = U yields

$$(2.6)\qquad lU = \frac{c}{4}U + \alpha AU - \beta A\xi.$$

The inner products of (2.6) with U and ϕU yield respectively

$$(2.7)\qquad g(AU, U) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha},$$

$$(2.8)\qquad g(AU, \phi U) = \frac{1}{a} g(lU, \phi U).$$

where $\gamma = g(lU, U)$.
The second relation of (1.2) for $X = U, Y = lU$, the condition $\phi l = l\phi$ and the symmetry of the operator l imply: $g(lU, \phi U) = 0$. The last two equations imply

$$(2.9)\qquad g(AU, \phi U) = 0.$$

Taking the inner product of (2.6) and ξ we get:

$$(2.10)\qquad g(AU, \xi) = \beta.$$

From relations (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain the first of (2.1).
Because of (1.6) and the symmetry of A we obtain

$$g(A\phi U,\xi)=0. \tag{2.11}$$

Putting $X=\phi U$ in (2.5) we have :

$$l\phi U=\frac{c}{4}\phi U+\alpha A\phi U. \tag{2.12}$$

Since $\gamma=g(l\phi U,\phi U)$, taking the inner product of (2.12) with ϕU, we have

$$g(A\phi U,\phi U)=\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}. \tag{2.13}$$

Using (2.9), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain the second of (2.1).

If we put $X=\xi$, $X=U$, $X=\phi U$ in (1.3) respectively and by making use of (1.6) and (2.1), we obtain (2.2).
It is well known that:

$$Xg(Y,Z)=g(\nabla_X Y,Z)+g(Y,\nabla_X Z) \tag{2.14}$$

Now if we use (2.2) and (2.14), it is easy to verify that: $g(\nabla_\xi U,U)=0=g(\nabla_\xi U,\xi)$. So if we put $g(\nabla_\xi U,\phi U)=\kappa_1$, we have $\nabla_\xi U=\kappa_1\phi U$. From (2.2) and (2.14), we obtain $g(\nabla_U U,U)=g(\nabla_U U,\xi)=0$. Therefore, putting $g(\nabla_U U,\phi U)=\kappa_2$, we have $\nabla_U U=\kappa_2\phi U$. By use of (2.14) and (2.2) we have that $g(\nabla_{\phi U}U,U)=0$ and $g(\nabla_{\phi U}U,\xi)=\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}$. Then if we set $g(\nabla_{\phi U}U,\phi U)=\kappa_3$, we get $\nabla_{\phi U}U=\kappa_3\phi U+(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})\xi$. In the same way, using (2.14), (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain (2.4). □

Lemma 2.3 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane* $M_2(c)$ $(c\neq 0)$, *satisfying* $\phi l=l\phi$ *on* $ker(\eta)$. *Then on* V' *we have* $\gamma\neq\frac{c}{4}$.

Proof.
Putting $X=U$, $Y=\xi$ in (1.5), we obtain $(\nabla_U A)\xi-(\nabla_\xi A)U=-\frac{c}{4}\phi U$. Combining the last equation with (1.6), (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) respectively, it follows :

$$\Big[(U\alpha)-(\xi\beta)\Big]\xi+\Big[(U\beta)-(\xi\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}+\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\big))\Big]U+$$

$$\Big[\gamma-\frac{c}{4}+\kappa_2\beta-(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}+\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\big)-\kappa_1\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\Big]\phi U=-\frac{c}{4}\phi U.$$

The last equation because of the linear independency of U, ϕU and ξ, yields

$$\big(U\alpha\big)=\big(\xi\beta\big), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\big(U\beta\big)=\Big(\xi\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}+\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\big)\Big), \tag{2.16}$$

$$\gamma+\kappa_2\beta-(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}+\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\big)-\kappa_1\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}=0. \tag{2.17}$$

In the same way, putting $X=\phi U$, $Y=\xi$ in (1.5) we obtain $(\nabla_{\phi U}A)\xi-(\nabla_{\xi}A)\phi U=\frac{c}{4}U$. Combining the last equation with (1.6), (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\big(\phi U\beta\big)+(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})\Big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}+\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\Big)-\kappa_1\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}-\beta^2-\gamma=0, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\kappa_3\beta=\xi\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}\big), \tag{2.19}$$

$$\big(\phi U\alpha\big)+3\beta(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})-\kappa_1\beta-\alpha\beta=0. \tag{2.20}$$

Similarly, putting $X=U$, $Y=\phi U$ in (1.5), we get $(\nabla_U A)\phi U-(\nabla_{\phi U}A)U=-\frac{c}{2}\xi$, which, by use of (1.6), (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), implies :

$$-\kappa_2\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}-3\beta(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})-\frac{\beta^3}{\alpha}+\Big(\phi U\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}+\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\big)\Big)=0, \tag{2.21}$$

$$U\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}\big)=\kappa_3\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}, \tag{2.22}$$

Combining (2.21) with (2.17), (2.18) and (2.20) we obtain

$$\Big(\phi U\big(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha}\big)\Big)=\frac{3\beta}{\alpha}\big[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})^2-\frac{c}{4\alpha}\big]. \tag{2.23}$$

If $\gamma=\frac{c}{4}$ then the last relation yields $\frac{3\beta c}{4}=0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\gamma\neq\frac{c}{4}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$ on $ker(\eta)$. Then, $\kappa_3 = 0$ on V'.*

Proof.
Because of (2.3), (2.4), (2.19), (2.22) and (2.23), the well known relation $[U, \phi U] = \nabla_U \phi U - \nabla_{\phi U} U$ takes the form

$$[U, \phi U](\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha}) =$$

$$-\frac{\kappa_2\kappa_3\beta^2}{\alpha} - \kappa_3\beta(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}) - \frac{3\beta\kappa_3}{\alpha}\left[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}\right] - \kappa_3\beta\ (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})$$

On the other hand (2.18), (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23) yield:

$$[U, \phi U](\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha}) = U\Big(\phi U(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})\Big) - \phi U\Big(U(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})\Big) =$$

$$\frac{3(U\beta)}{\alpha}\left[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}\right] - \frac{3\beta(U\alpha)}{\alpha^2}\left[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}\right] + \frac{6\kappa_3\beta^3}{\alpha^2}(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha}) - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}(\phi U(\kappa_3))$$

$$+\frac{2\kappa_3\beta}{\alpha}(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}) - \frac{2\kappa_3\beta\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{\kappa_1\kappa_3\beta^3}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\kappa_3\beta^3}{\alpha} - \frac{3\kappa_3\beta^3\gamma}{\alpha^3}$$

$$+\frac{3\kappa_3 c\beta^3}{4\alpha^3}$$

The last equations using (2.15), (2.16) and (2.19) yield

$$\frac{3}{\alpha}[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}](\xi\beta) - \frac{3\beta}{\alpha^2}[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}](\xi\alpha) - \beta(\phi U\kappa_3) = \tag{2.24}$$

$$[2c - \beta\kappa_2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\kappa_1 - 8(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{5\beta^2}{\alpha}(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})]\kappa_3$$

Following a similar way, from the action of $[\xi, \phi U]$ on $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha}$ we obtain

$$\frac{3}{\alpha}[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}](\xi\beta) - \frac{3\beta}{\alpha^2}[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{c}{4}](\xi\alpha) - \beta(\phi U\kappa_3) = \tag{2.25}$$

$$[\gamma - (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})^2 - \frac{6\beta^2}{\alpha}(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha})]\kappa_3$$

Comparing (2.24) with (2.25) and by making use of (2.17) we obtain

$$\kappa_3\big[(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})^2-\frac{c}{4}\big]=0$$

Let us assume there is a point p on V' such that $\kappa_3\neq 0$. Then because of the continuity of κ_3 there exists a neighborhood W(p) around p such that $\kappa_3\neq 0$. This fact and the last equation imply that $(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})^2=\frac{c}{4}$ on W(p). Differentiating the last equation along ξ and because of lemma 2.3 we obtain $\xi(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4\alpha})=0$. Combining the last equation with (2.19) we are led to $\kappa_3=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore W(p) is empty and $\kappa_3=0$ on V'. $\square$

3 Proof of theorem 0.3.

We first prove the following:

Lemma 3.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c\neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l=l\phi$ and $\nabla_\xi l=\mu\xi$ on kerη or on span$\{\xi\}$. Then the structure vector field ξ is principal on M.*

Proof.
In what follows we work on V'. If $\nabla_\xi l=\mu\xi$ holds on kerη, then using (2.1), (2.3), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.12) we analyze $(\nabla_\xi l)\phi U=\mu\xi$, $(\nabla_\xi l)U=\mu\xi$, and obtain $\mu+\beta\gamma=0$ and $\mu=0$. Since we work on V', the last two equations yield $\gamma=0$ on V'. If $\nabla_\xi l=\mu\xi$ holds on span$\{\xi\}$, then using (2.2), (2.12) and (2.1) we also obtain $\gamma=0$. From (2.20), (2.23) and $\gamma=0$ we obtain

$$\kappa_1=-4\alpha. \tag{3.1}$$

The relations (2.18), (3.1) and $\gamma=0$ yield

$$\phi U\beta=-\frac{c^2}{16\alpha^2}+\frac{c\beta^2}{4\alpha^2}-3\beta^2. \tag{3.2}$$

The relations (2.23) and $\gamma=0$ imply

$$\phi U\alpha=-3\alpha\beta+\frac{3\beta c}{4\alpha}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now, from lemma 2.4, $\gamma = 0$ on V', (2.22), (2.19), (2.15) and (2.16) we have

$$(U\alpha) = (\xi\alpha) = (U\beta) = (\xi\beta) = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

which implies

$$[U, \xi]\alpha = 0.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (2.2), (2.3) and (3.1) we obtain

$$[U, \xi]\alpha = (-\frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} + 4\alpha)(\phi U\alpha).$$

The last two equations imply

$$(\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + 4\alpha)(\phi U\alpha) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

We distinguish two cases: A)$\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + 4\alpha \neq 0$ and B)$\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + 4\alpha = 0$.

A)Let V_1 be the set of points p of V' such that $-\frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} + 4\alpha \neq 0$. Because of the continuity there exists a neighborhood of p on which $-\frac{c}{4\alpha} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} + 4\alpha \neq 0$ holds. This condition and (3.5) imply

$$\phi U\alpha = 0.$$

The last equation combined with (3.3) yields

$$\frac{c}{4} = \alpha^2 > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand calculating the Lie bracket $[U, \xi]\beta$ by using (2.2), (2.3) and (3.4) we obtain $(\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + +4\alpha)(\phi U\beta) = 0$ which, because of the condition $\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + 4\alpha \neq 0$, leads to

$$\phi U\beta = 0.$$

From the last equation, (3.2) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\frac{c}{4} = -2\beta^2 =< 0 \tag{3.7}$$

which is a contradiction because of (3.6). Therefore the set V_1 is empty.

B)Let V_2 be the set of points p of V' such that $\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + 4\alpha = 0$. Because of the continuity there exists a neighborhood of p on which $\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} - \frac{c}{4\alpha} + 4\alpha = 0$ holds. The last equation can be written as $\frac{c}{4} = 4\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 0$. Differentiating this equation with respect to ϕU we obtain $\beta(\phi U\beta) + 4\alpha(\phi U\alpha) = 0$. This relation because of (3.2) and (3.3) takes the form $c\alpha^2 = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore V_2 is empty. From the cases A) and B) we obtain that V' is empty. This means that M consists of the sets V_0 ad V'' where ξ is principal. So ξ is principal everywhere on M. $\square$

Proof of theorem 0.3

From lemma 3.1 we have on M:

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi, \qquad \alpha = g(A\xi, \xi) \tag{3.8}$$

We consider a $\phi - basis \left\{V, \phi V, \xi\right\}$. From (2.5) and (3.8) we obtain

$$lV = \frac{c}{4}V + \alpha AV, \qquad l\phi V = \frac{c}{4}\phi V + \alpha A\phi V. \tag{3.9}$$

The relations (3.9) and $\phi l = l\phi$ imply

$$(A\phi - \phi A)V = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand the action of ϕ on the second of (3.9) yields $\phi(l\phi V) = \frac{c}{4}\phi^2 V + \alpha\phi A\phi V$, which, by virtue of (1.1), is written in the form

$$(\phi l)\phi V = -\frac{c}{4}V + \alpha(\phi A)\phi V, \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, from (1.1) and (3.9) we obtain:

$$(l\phi)\phi V = l\phi^2 V = -lV = -\frac{c}{4}V - \alpha AV,$$

therefore

$$(l\phi)\phi V = -\frac{c}{4}V + \alpha(A\phi)\phi V \tag{3.12}$$

Comparing (3.11) and (3.12), and using $\phi l = l\phi$ we have

$$(A\phi - \phi A)\phi V = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

But from (1.1) and (3.8) we also have

$$(A\phi - \phi A)\xi = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, (3.10), (3.13) and (3.14) imply that $A\phi = \phi A$. This result and the theorems (0.1) and (0.2) complete the proof of the theorem 0.3. □

4 Proof of theorem 0.4

We define the sets:
$V_0 = \{p \in M/\alpha = 0$ in a neighborhood of M$\}$,
$V' = \{p \in M/\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of M$\}$,
$V'' = \{p \in M/\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0$ in a neighborhood of M$\}$.

Obviously ξ is principal in V''. In addition we have proved in section 2 that in every hypersurface satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$, ξ is principal on V_0. In what follows we work on V'.

Lemma 4.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex plane $M_2(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$, satisfying $\phi l = l\phi$ and $lA = Al$ on ker(η) or on span$\{\xi\}$. Then the structure vector field ξ is principal on M.*

Proof.
If $lA = Al$ holds on $ker(\eta)$, we have $lAU = AlU$. The last equation, (2.1), (2.6) and (1.6) yield $\gamma = 0$. If $lA = Al$ holds on span$\{\xi\}$, then $lA\xi = Al\xi$. The last equation with (1.6), (2.12) and (2.1) also yield $\gamma = 0$. Now the rest of the proof is similar to this one of lemma 3.1. □.

Theorem 0.4 is proved by virtue of lemma 4.1 and following a similar proof of theorem 0.3.

References

[1] J.Berndt, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space*, J Reine Angew Math. 395 (1989) 132 -141.

[2] D. E. Blair , *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkauser, 2002.

[3] J. T. Cho , U - H. Ki, *Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in terms of the Jacobi operators*, Acta Math. Hungarica 80 (1 - 2) (1998) 155 - 167.

[4] J. T. Cho , U - H. Ki, *Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with Treeb flow symmetric structure Jacobi operator*, Canad. Math. Bull. 51 (2008), no. 3 359 - 371.

[5] U - H. Ki, *The Ricci tensor and the structure Jacobi operator of real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, in Proc. of the Ninth International Workshop on Differential Geometry, Kyungpook Nat. Univ., Taegu, 2005, pp. 85 - 96.

[6] U - H. Ki, S.J. Kim, S.- B. Lee , *The structure Jacobi operator on real hypersurfaces in a non - flat complex space form*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42 (2005) No. 2 337 - 358.

[7] U - H. Ki, A. - A. Lee , S.- B. Lee, *On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form in terms of Jacobi operators*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 13 (1998) No.2 317 - 336.

[8] U - H. Ki, S. Nagai , R. Takagi , *Real hypersurfaces in non - flat complex space forms concerned with the structure Jacobi operator and Ricci tensor*, Topics in almost Hermitian geometry and related fields, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005 pp. 140 - 156.

[9] U - Hang Ki, Juan De Dios Perez, Florentino G Santos, Young Jin Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with ξ-parallel Ricci tensor and structure Jacobi operator*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 2 307 - 326.

[10] S. Montiel and A. Romero *On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, Geom. Dedicata 20 (1986), No. 2 245 - 261.

[11] M. Okumura, *On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 355 - 364.

[12] M. Ortega, J. de Dios Perez, Florentino G. Santos *Non-existence of real hypersurfaces with parallel structure Jacobi operator in nonflat complex space forms*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 36 (2006), no. 5, 1603 - 1613.

[13] R. Takagi,*On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973) 495 - 506.